

From: Jason Pellerin
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/23/02 10:35am
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

The proposed final judgement is nothing more than a rehash of the 1995 consent decree. It fails in numerous ways:

<http://www.kegel.com/remedy/remedy2.html>

to restrict Microsoft's abuse of its monopoly. It should also be remembered that it was Microsoft's failure to comply with the 1995 consent decree and the conduct remedies therein, that caused the US to begin the current case. A structural remedy is required in this case: Microsoft must not be encouraged to obey the law, it must be rendered incapable of breaking it.

The proposed final judgement is not in the public interest.

Sincerely,

Jason Pellerin